LSIS Leadership in Technology (LIT) grant based intervention Impact study template

Provider name & contact details	Canterbury College, New Dover Road, Canterbury, Kent, CT1 3AJ 01227 811111 www.canterburycollege.ac.uk	
LIT Project title	Implementation of Electronic Personal Learning Plans	
The project		
What problem or issue you were trying to resolve or improve with this project	As an organisation, we had used a traditional paper based tutorial system throughout the college for many years. This system had limited scope and did not support the needs of the students and was in need of development. Feedback from staff and students indicated that a large majority desired an electronic version. Coupled with the reduction of hours for the provision of tutorials, moving to an electronic system would promote the idea of the feedback being accessible 24/7 thus making the tutorial continuous and not an isolated event that might occur on a fortnightly basis. Information pertaining to the students was also spread around a number of different management systems, this project would bring this information together making in easier for staff to action to support the students learning while studying. Finally the system would bring those staff together who have limited contact with the students thus ensuring all students are monitored fully giving accurate data before a student becomes at risk of not achieving.	
Why did you go	As stated previously, the difficultly was to ensure that all parties	
for a	communicate effectively when delivering a tutorial.	
technology- based solution	Using the electronic based system ensured that all parties were able to access the information system for the electronic tutorial within and outside the organisation	
	The technology based improvements were also geared towards adapting the system for the learner, not the learner for the system. Students use technology in their everyday lives to communicate so using it academically simply makes sense.	

What did the project cost: LSIS funding + your organisation's contribution	£6000 + an additional 168 staff members trained in using the e-PLP. Original bid was for 10 members of staff costing £150 each to release them from their usual duties. Our in-kind contribution on this basis is £25,200.
Describe what you did and what happened	As we had done some initial work on the PLP prior to this bid we were able to integrate the system easily with the VLE for the October 11 deadline that we had set ourselves.
	Integration of data was on-going, we set ourselves the initial targets of having contact details, prior attainment, value added scores and specific learning needs to be on the PLP. This was achieved before the end of 2011.
	Following on from this update to the PLP we added the attendance data for each student. This was after the college adopted a college wide electronic register, this system made it possible to add this essential option. This had an immediate impact on the pilot groups as they were able to discuss with the students their attendance on the program.
	Training has been continuous throughout the period of the pilot as more sections adopted the system to be used with their students. We are currently in the process of identifying 'champions' to continue the facilitation of training for those still new to using the ePLP.
The benefits and impact	
What benefits/	a. the work/ effectiveness of your organisation
impact has the project had on:::	The project team have been an important group for the discussions and cross section collaboration. This team had been responsible for driving the change and developing the enthusiasm for the project.
	The ePLP has also been noted in evaluations as an accessible way for staff to build confidence using technology in lessons and to enhance students learning experience.
	The areas that piloted the bid have seen increased engagement in the tutorial process, through clear and effective target setting and monitoring of the students journey whilst at college.
	Student voice surveys indicate need for improved feedback so students know how to improve rather than just knowing they 'must do better'.

OFSTED 2012 report mentioned good organisation of VLE but lack of effective use and the comments from inspectors that the ePLP was a good start but needed further development.

b. the cost/ efficiency of activities

There has been a considerable saving in budget allocations under 'stationary and printing'. For example, the Music section (which has pure ePLP usage) were allocated £2784 in academic year 11/12 for printing and stationary but have only spent £1549 in comparison to last year where the full budget allocation was spent. If this is used as an average and replicated across all academic sections, this would save £23,465.

This significant amount of surplus will give the college the capital funds needed to update learning technology resources and provide greater access to high quality courses to all learners.

Feedback from the project focus group identified a number of future areas for development that would aid the time effectiveness of tutor and the student. One particular point that reoccurred was the effective tracking of progress so the learner could see clearly where they are and where they need to be. Most importantly, the combination of tracking and quick feedback enables students with the tools of **how** to achieve.

c. any other aspect of your work

Feedback from the ePLP focus group and collaborative working with Mid Kent College has indicated direction of project progression from the user level. The success of this project has strengthened working relationships between Canterbury and MidKent and has enables us to successfully bid for collaborative projects.

This project also complimented our strategy to improve e-maturity levels across the organisation including criteria for a skills card and the level 4 'Using the VLE'. There is evidence to show that staff who have little or no interest in using technology have embraced the ePLP and are pushing for further developments as they can see the instant benefits this makes to their practice

What	LSIS funding	a little / some/ a lot / essential
contribution to	Loro ranaing	a maio / come, a lot / <u>occontitur</u>
the success / smooth running	Your mentor	a little / some/ a lot / essential
of the project was made by:	LSIS Associate	a little / some/ a lot / essential
Do you have any comments on the funding, mentor or LSIS Associate.	Throughout the period all our goals and exploud Linda's background gapeople, management at the project. Rosie Douglas' advice experience allowed us project and that allowed unexpectedly quick rate Canterbury and Mid Ke	as an inspirational person to have on the team. of the project Linda motivated us to complete ore other opportunities for development. ave us the opportunity to consider the affect on and organisational change while participating in and guidance as mentor was invaluable. Here to avoid the potential pitfalls throughout the ed us to excel with the project tasks at an ite. The best practice shared between ent College from this project has resulted in a lationship which will build on the ePLP at both
What lessons did you learn / what tips would you give to other providers	considered. When the window became difficult had to scroll through later than the scroll through later t	e PLP was something that we hadn't tutorial became populated with information the alt to navigate and both the student and tutor arge amounts of information. Toblem we looked at developing JAVA script, DIV tags. These then gave the user the alty the bits of information, which they needed at presented in a more aesthetically pleasing way. The did still ask for the previous setup even when the est hat had been requested. This just shows of the people all of the time! Instead, we have find the best balance of all feedback. This did not become apparent to use as a supple of the symbol of the time apparent to use as a supple of the symbol of the time apparent to use as a symbol of the time. This did not become apparent to use as a symbol of the time apparent to use as a symbol of the time apparent to use as a symbol of the symbol of the time. The problem was with the upgrade of the problem was wit

	Moodle to version 2.3 and the integration of the accessibility block http://moodle.org/plugins/view.php?plugin=block accessibility This then enabled users to change the colour and combined with the ATBar allowed uses to have the text read to them that is contained within the PLP. To review the two points presented to miss the second was
	significant and some thing we felt embarrassed about.
	If we were to give any tips, it would be to ensure that all parties are fully represented when developing a new system. You can become very isolated and unaware of the problems if you work on your own. You have to remember what practical and real affect does it have on teaching, learning and assessment. Having people who are able to comment on these areas fully will drive improvement and ensure that your system is fully integrated into the organisation.
Telling others	
What have you done to share /disseminate this project with	Workshop provided on 8 March 2012 at the "Technology for Success" Conference and JISC RSC South East meeting in December 2011. All resources available on GIT hub
others in the sector	KAFEC dissemination at E-learning group
	Mahara page to be lunched with a review of the project in August.
Provide a quote on your experience of the LSIS LIT project.	"LIT Project funding for e-plp's has given students access in a logical and obvious way, the improved links and benefits created by an online tutorial system means both the college and learners are better equipped to meet challenges and targets more effectively. We look forward to developing this learner centered system even further"
Are you happy for us to use this and your contact details for marketing and publications?	Yes

Contact details	Nicholas Sermon
for further	01227 811111 Ext 2059
information	n.sermon@canterburycollege.ac.

Please email all case studies to <u>eleadership@lsis.org.uk</u> by 31st July 2012